

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/965,644	Applicant(s) BITENSKY ET AL.	
	Examiner Teresa E. Strzelecka	Art Unit 1637	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Teresa E. Strzelecka.

(3) _____.

 (2) Ronald Eisenstein.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 November 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

3

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Teresa Strzelecka
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Eisenstein was contacted because claim 3 was cancelled in the amendment filed September 26, 2006, but the dependent claims 5-8 were not. It was agreed that claim 3 will be reinstated as new claim 54, and claims 5-8 will be amended to depend from claim 54. .